


Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Dianthus rupicola

1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **MED**

map-distribution



2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MEDITERRANEAN**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Servei de Protecció d'Espècies. 2007. Projecte Bioatles. Conselleria de Medi Ambient. Govern de les Illes Balears.

Sáez, LL. i Rosselló, J. A. 2001. Llibre vermell de la flora vascular de les Illes Balears. Documents Tècnics de Conservació. 2a època. Núm. 9. Govern de les Illes Balears. Conselleria de Medi Ambient. Palma de Mallorca. 232 pàgs.

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

- 2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km²: 20
- 2.3.2 Date of range determination:
- 2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range: Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation
- 2.3.4 Range trend: Increasing (+)
- 2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km² (optional):
- 2.3.6 Range trend period: 2000-2007
- 2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
and/or specify

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
500	0	Number of individuals

- 2.4.2 Date of population estimation: 2007
- 2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation: Based on expert opinion
- 2.4.4 Quality of data on area: Poor e.g. based on very incomplete data or on expert judgement
- 2.4.5 Population trend: Increasing (+)
- 2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km²):
- 2.4.7 Population trend period: 2000-2006

Dianthus rupicola

2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures:

2.4.11 Threats

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2): 3

2.5.3 Date of estimation: 2007

2.5.4 Quality of the data: Poor e.g. based on very incomplete data or on expert judgement

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:

2.5.6 Trend period:

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:

Other (specify):

2.6 Future prospects for the species: Poor prospects - species likely to struggle unless conditions change

2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2): More than

2.7.2 Favourable reference population: More than

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Bad (U2)	