



Cheirolophus ghomerytus

1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **MAC**

map-range

map-distribution



map-favourable-range



2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MACARONESIAN**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Beltrán E., Wildpret W., León C., García A. & A. Reyes (1999). Libro Rojo de la Flora Canaria contenida en la Directiva-Hábitats Europea. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente. 694 pp.

SEGA 2003. Seguimiento de poblaciones de especies amenazadas. Informe no publicado. Gesplan.

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 300

2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2003

2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:

2.3.4 Range trend: Increasing (+)

2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):

2.3.6 Range trend period: 1995-2003

Cheirolophus ghomerytus

2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

and/or specify

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)

Population size estimation (maximum)

Population units

978

0

Number of individuals

2.4.2 Date of population estimation:

2003

2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:

From comprehensive inventory

2.4.4 Quality of data on area:

Good e.g based on extensive surveys

2.4.5 Population trend:

Decreasing (-)

2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):

2.4.7 Population trend period:

1995-2003

2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:

Other (specify)

and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures:

965 - predation

2.4.11 Threats

965 - predation

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:

Acantilados y riscos basálticos antiguos y en conglomerados de las formaciones m

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):

100

2.5.3 Date of estimation:

2007

2.5.4 Quality of the data:

Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:

Stable (=)

2.5.6 Trend period:

1970-2006

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:

NaturalProcesses

Other (specify):

2.6 Future prospects for the species:

Poor prospects - species likely to struggle unless conditions change

2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):

Less than

2.7.2 Favourable reference population:

Less than

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

50

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Se trata de una especie localizada en zona de acantilados, muy probablemente c

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Inadequate (U1)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Inadequate and deteriorating (U1-	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Inadequate (U1)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Inadequate and deteriorating (U1-	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Inadequate and deteriorating (U1-	