


# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## Barbus sclateri

### 1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **MED**

map-distribution



### 2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MEDITERRANEAN**

#### 2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Ignacio Doadrio Ed. 2001 "Atlas y Libro Rojo de los Peces Continentales de España. MMA. Madrid".

Doadrio, I., ed. (2002). Atlas y Libro Rojo de los Peces Continentales de España. Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza. Publicaciones del O.A.P.N. Madrid, 374 pp.

Torralva, M. et al. Atlas de Distribución de los Peces Epicontinentales de la Región de Murcia. Dirección General del Medio Natural. Consejería de Industria y Medio Ambiente. 2005. Murcia, 167 pp.

Libro Rojo de los Vertebrados de la Región de Murcia. Dirección General del Medio Natural. Consejería de Industria y Medio Ambiente. 2006. Murcia, 358 pp.

#### 2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

- 2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 2372,41
- 2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2006
- 2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range: Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation
- 2.3.4 Range trend: Decreasing (-)
- 2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):
- 2.3.6 Range trend period: 1986-2003
- 2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend: Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction)  
Indirect anthropo(zoo)genic influence

and/or specify

#### 2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

##### 2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
25	25	Number of localities

##### 2.4.2 Date of population estimation:

# Barbus sclateri

2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:	
2.4.4 Quality of data on area:	Poor e.g. based on very incomplete data or on expert judgement
2.4.5 Population trend:	Decreasing (-)
2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):	
2.4.7 Population trend period:	
2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:	Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction) Indirect anthropo(zoo)genic influence
and/or specify:	
2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):	
2.4.10 Main pressures:	301 - quarries 420 Discharges 701 - water pollution 850 Modification of hydrographic functioning, general 853 - management of water levels 954 - invasion by a species 966 - antagonism arising from introduction of species
2.4.11 Threats	301 - quarries 420 Discharges 701 - water pollution 850 Modification of hydrographic functioning, general 853 - management of water levels 954 - invasion by a species 966 - antagonism arising from introduction of species

## 2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:	Ocupa diferentes tramos de un río en una misma área de distribución, pero desap
2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):	
2.5.3 Date of estimation:	
2.5.4 Quality of the data:	
2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:	
2.5.6 Trend period:	
2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:	NotApplicable
Other (specify):	

## 2.6 Future prospects for the species:

## 2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):	
2.7.2 Favourable reference population:	
2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):	
2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):	

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Unknown (XX)	

**Barbus sclateri**

Conclusions: Overall assessment:

Unknown (XX)