


# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## Lacerta agilis

### 1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **ALP**

map-distribution



### 2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **ALPINE**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Distribución y estatuts de Lacerta agilis y Zootoca vivipara en Catalunya. O. Arribas. BUTlletí Societat Catalana d'Herpetologia. 1999

Censo de la població de Lacerta agilis en la "Pleta de les Vaques". PN Cadí Moixeró. Informe inédito

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

- 2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 300
- 2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2006
- 2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range: Good e.g based on extensive surveys
- 2.3.4 Range trend: Unknown (X)
- 2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):
- 2.3.6 Range trend period:
- 2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:  
and/or specify

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
500	550	Number of individuals

2.4.2 Date of population estimation: 2006

2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:

2.4.4 Quality of data on area:

2.4.5 Population trend: Unknown (X)

2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):

2.4.7 Population trend period:

## Lacerta agilis

2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:

and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures:

2.4.11 Threats

### 2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):

2.5.3 Date of estimation:

2.5.4 Quality of the data:

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:

2.5.6 Trend period:

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:

Other (specify):

### 2.6 Future prospects for the species:

#### 2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):

2.7.2 Favourable reference population:

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Inadequate (U1)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Bad (U2)	