



Ceropegia chrysantha

1. National level


Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **MAC**

map-range

map-distribution



map-favourable-range



2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MACARONESIAN**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Beltrán E., Wildpret W., León C., García A. & A. Reyes, 1999. Libro Rojo de la Flora Canaria contenida en la Directiva-Hábitats Europea. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente. 694 pp.

Bañares Baudet, A., P. Romero Manrique & C. Rodríguez Piñero, 1992. Adiciones corológicas de algunos endemismos canarios en peligro de extinción. Bot. Macaronésica 19-20: 142-150

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 100

2.3.2 Date of range determination: 1988

2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:

2.3.4 Range trend: Unknown (X)

2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):

2.3.6 Range trend period:

Ceropegia chrysantha

2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:	Unknown	
and/or specify		
2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region		
2.4.1 Population size estimation:		
	Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)
	80	Population units
		Number of individuals
2.4.2 Date of population estimation:	1988	
2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:	From comprehensive inventory	
2.4.4 Quality of data on area:	Good e.g based on extensive surveys	
2.4.5 Population trend:	Unknown (X)	
2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):		
2.4.7 Population trend period:		
2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:	Unknown	
and/or specify:		
2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):		
2.4.10 Main pressures:	965 - predation	
2.4.11 Threats	965 - predation	
2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region		
2.5.1 Habitats for the species:	Endemismo tinerfeño que forma parte de las comunidades de Klenio-Euphorbion	
2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):	450	
2.5.3 Date of estimation:	2007	
2.5.4 Quality of the data:	Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation	
2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:	Unknown (X)	
2.5.6 Trend period:	1970-2006	
2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:	Unknown	
Other (specify):		
2.6 Future prospects for the species:	Bad prospects - species likely to be become extinct in the biogeographical region	
2.7 Complementary information		
2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):		Less than
2.7.2 Favourable reference population:		Much more than
2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):	25	
2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):	Tal como señala Bryuns (1986), la población o la planta original de Sventenius no	
Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Bad and deteriorating (U2-)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Bad (U2)	