Ozone strategies in the context of other policy objectives

Markus Amann
Program Director
International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)



Ground-level ozone is a very complex issue, influenced *inter alia* by emissions, long-range transport, complex chemistry, climate and local conditions.



Some important uncertainties in the understanding of the ozone problem

• Health effects:

- Impacts of low O₃ concentrations?
- Chronic effects? In polluted air (cities)? Multi-pollutant mixtures?
- Personal exposure? Role of indoor exposure?

• Vegetation:

 Interaction between of O₃ and nitrogen deposition/fertilization – net impacts of NO_x reductions?

Climate change:

- Changes in weather patterns blocking high pressure systems, etc.
- Biogenic emissions, ozone deposition during droughts

Ozone formation:

- How well can current O_3 models explain lower O_3 levels (long-term, nights, winter, etc.)?
- Linkages across scales (urban/regional/continental/hemispheric)



More research is needed.

In the meantime:

A risk management approach?



1) Despite all the uncertainties, we know that:

- The O₃ problem is linked to NO_x, VOC, CH₄, CO emissions
- Large scale reductions of background ozone (to protect vegetation and perhaps health) require large-scale NO_x and CH₄ mitigation
- VOC cuts reduce local O₃ peaks and urban plumes (if and where they remain a problem – how many people are living in high ozone plumes?)
- The quantification of the benefits of specific measures remains challenging with current tools



2) Strengthen measures targeted at other policy objectives with co-benefits for O₃

Further NO_x reductions are necessary for

- WHO guidelines for PM2.5
 (~90% of health impacts are related to PM2.5),
- biodiversity protection (excess nitrogen deposition),
- NO₂ limit values.

CH_₄ **mitigation** is essential for

- ambitious long-term climate targets (UNFCCC),
- limiting near-term temperature increase (SLCP/CCAC),
- sustainable economic development (SDG).

Further VOC cuts

- could also reduce SOA and thereby PM2.5
 - but uncertainties about role of solvents emissions.



Key points

• Due to gaps in scientific understanding, it is currently difficult to develop robust strategies targeted at ozone.

 But strengthened efforts to achieve the WHO guideline for PM2.5 and to enhance climate policies are likely to deliver co-benefits for health- and vegetation protection against ozone.

 International and even hemispheric coordination is essential.

